

MINUTES–**AS PRESENTED 1/22/19**
CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT
BOARD OF SCHOOL TRUSTEES
ATTENDANCE ZONE ADVISORY COMMISSION
EDWARD A. GREER EDUCATION CENTER, BOARD ROOM
2832 E. FLAMINGO ROAD, LAS VEGAS, NV 89121

November 27, 2018

9:30 a.m.

Roll Call: Members Present

Albert Delgado
Dave Flatt
David Gomez (arrived at 9:43 a.m.)
Justin Hepworth
Diane Kinsel
Mallory Levins (arrived at 9:57 a.m.)
Shari Lyman (arrived at 9:36 a.m.)
Mike Malone
Becky Nielson
Matthew Tramp
Rachel Wren (arrived at 9:46 a.m.)

Members Absent

Angela Haldeman
Lois Mack

Tracy Murphy, Coordinator IV
Steve Burda, GIS Coordinator III
Sheri Garbiso, Senior GIS Analyst

Cameron Hasse, Transportation GIS Technician

FLAG SALUTE

ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Adoption of the agenda as amended for November 27, 2018.

Motion: Matthew Tramp

Second: Dave Flatt

Vote: Unanimous

David Gomez, Angela Haldeman, Mallory Levins, Shari Lyman, Lois Mack, and Rachel Wren were not present to vote.

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD

None.

REPORTS

Tracy Murphy stated no new reports are presented.

EVALUATE SCENARIO(S) FOR FOCUS AREA E1 FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS

Albert Delgado stated Focus Area E1 establishes an attendance boundary for the new elementary school at Tompkins Avenue and Conquistador Street opening 2019 and to evaluate the existing attendance boundaries of the following: Kathy L. Batterman, Patricia A. Bendorf, Roger M. Bryan, M. J. Chrtistensen, Marion B. Earl, Judy and John L. Goolsby, Keith C. and Karen W. Hayes, Frank Kim, D'Vorre and Hal Ober, Clarence Piggott Academy of International Studies, and Lucille S. Rogers Elementary Schools.

Tracy Murphy stated Scenario 4 is presented in addition to the three presented at the meeting on November 13, 2018. Ms. Murphy provided the data for all four scenarios.

Justin Hepworth suggested creating Scenario 5 to adjust the Batterman Elementary School boundary.

Tracy Murphy stated the area to which Mr. Hepworth referred is reflected on Scenario 2.

Justin Hepworth stated Scenario 4 makes other changes he favors which are not made in Scenarios 2 and 3, making a Scenario 5 preferable.

Albert Delgado stated Scenario 1 leaves Batterman Elementary School unchanged. Mr. Delgado stated portions of the four existing scenarios can be combined to make a recommendation.

Justin Hepworth stated Scenario 4 makes the most sense, giving relief to Batterman Elementary School, but leaving the odd butterfly shape at the west side of its boundary. Mr. Hepworth suggested the area be expanded as it is on the other scenarios, which will not affect any students as none currently reside there.

Albert Delgado stated he understands Mr. Hepworth's point; it is available by combining sections with the other scenarios without creating a Scenario 5.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Natalie Cornejo, parent, Ober Elementary School, pointed out an area of age 55 and older homes which should not have children in residence.

Jeff Warnick, parent, Goolsby Elementary School, opposes Scenario 1.

Traci Kesisian, parent, Goolsby Elementary School, opposes Scenario 1.

Jon Carlson, parent, Goolsby Elementary School, opposes Scenario 1.

Ronald Levin, parent, Goolsby Elementary School, opposes Scenario 1.

Kristi Wong, parent, Goolsby Elementary School, expressed concern about loss of staff with reduced enrollment.

Larry Lawson, parent, Goolsby Elementary School, opposes Scenario 1.

Joshua Carlson, parent, Goolsby Elementary School, opposes Scenario 1.

Seth Griffith, parent, Goolsby Elementary School, opposes Scenario 1.

Ericka Haskin, parent, Goolsby Elementary School, opposes Scenario 1.

Maren Parry, parent, Goolsby Elementary School, is concerned about loss of their STEM program if enrollment is reduced.

Albert Delgado reviewed estimated enrollment increases expected from development in each school on Scenarios 1 through 5; District F can expect almost 2,000 students from new development. Mr. Delgado stated no one likes to see enrollment decrease to the point of losing programs.

Matthew Tramp asked if the Gardens area received transportation to Goolsby Elementary School.

Cameron Hasse stated the students are within the two mile walking distance for Goolsby Elementary School; it appears they would be within two miles of Hayes Elementary School, but Transportation Investigators would check for exceptions.

Albert Delgado relinquished the chair to Becky Nielson at 10:17 a.m.

Albert Delgado made a motion to move Focus Area E1, Scenarios 1, 3, and 4 to Proposals.

Justin Hepworth, prior to a second being made, asked to make a counter proposal to not move Scenario 1 forward; if the commission will not vote in favor of Scenario 1 and the public opposes it, there is no point in moving it forward. Mr. Hepworth stated Scenario 4 should be amended and moved forward with Scenario 3; only realistic scenarios with wide public support should be taken to Public Input Meetings.

Albert Delgado explained there is an area only on Scenario 1 he may need to apply to a final proposal; if Scenario 1 is not moved forward suggested changes unique to it cannot be incorporated in the final recommendation.

David Gomez stated he knows this is Albert's district, but he has been doing this, not commission but he has been working with the public for ten years and fighting for what's best for parents always, seeing that he is a parent number one. Mr. Gomez does agree with Justin, we do need to listen to the public; if they don't like Scenario 1, unfortunately it is not going to work, we're going to make a lot of people angry and we're not going to make anyone happy at the same time with moving children. Mr. Gomez understands that we can also look at it at a later time with some other rezoning, maybe when that time comes, when that development just pops its head out, because development, you never know, and some of the staff knows this as well; sometimes they do freeze on developments. Mr. Gomez stated they will tell you they are going to build this X amount of development and they never move because the city will say, "No, you can't do that," or "No, you can't do this," or "We're not going to approve this." If we're going based off of proposed developments and moving some of these kids off of a number that doesn't exist it's like trying to cook a soup with a chicken in the sky, you know, we're trying to catch it but we're not going to catch it, so the problem that he sees here is that the public is actually speaking at this point and they're saying, "No, I do

not want Scenario 1.” Mr. Gomez does agree with Justin and some of the other commissions, but this is your district. Mr. Gomez is a parent and does consider a lot of parents when they actually speak to him and they say, “This is what I want, this is what I want to do, and this is what’s best for my children, because as a parent, this is what’s best for my children.” Mr. Gomez stated it’s hard to be a parent who raises a Marine, and he goes to become a Marine, and that’s a very difficult job to give him that structure and that guidance in life, but because people listen to me as a parent he was able to do such things, like Dr. Mike Barton opened his arms, as well as the former superintendent. That’s really what Mr. Gomez wanted to point out; you know this is the public’s choice, they are making their voice known, and Mr. Gomez really believes they should consider removing that number 1.”

Rachel Wren stated she understands what Justin and David are saying, however, as commission members they can create recommendations from portions of the proposals; a new scenario does not appear necessary.

Albert Delgado stated the public is notified of areas considered for change when they go to proposal. Mr. Delgado understands the concern is having parents come to a meeting when their area may be unaffected. Mr. Delgado simply wants to keep all options open.

Justin Hepworth stated he disapproves of leaving the option to move the Gardens area; he wants a new proposal created.

David Gomez stated he did find it (the enrollment required for a STEM program), he had someone send it to him, it’s osit.nv.gov, it’s the Nevada’s Governor’s Design STEM School Action Guide, so he’s pretty sure the numbers are there, which they sent it to him right away. Also, for the acting director, Ms. Murphy, the question is what is the real number of growth, he means realistically, number of growth does she see growing in that area, not a proposed number.

Sheri Garbiso provided statistics for areas that have begun to build.

David Gomez asked if they are looking at two to four years, not next year (for student yield).

Sheri Garbiso stated it could move very fast or two to four years out.

A motion was made to move Focus Area E1, Scenarios 1, 3, and 4 to Proposals 1, 2, and 3.

Motion: Albert Delgado	Second: Diane Kinsel	Motion Passed
8 Yes	3 No	

Angela Haldeman and Lois Mack were not present to vote.

Albert Delgado resumed the chair at 10:30 a.m.

EVALUATE SCENARIO(S) FOR FOCUS AREA C1 FOR ELEMENTARY, MIDDLE, AND HIGH SCHOOLS
Albert Delgado stated Focus Area C1 evaluates the existing attendance boundaries of the following: James Bilbray / William and Mary Scherkenbach and Indian Springs Elementary Schools, Ralph Cadwallader and Indian Springs Middle Schools, and Arbor View and Indian Springs High Schools.

